

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,561	01/07/2005	Alan Farer	3975.038	5265	
41288 STEPHAN A	7590 09/14/2007 PENDORF, P.A.	EXAMINER			
PENDORF & CUTLIFF			YU, GINA C		
5111 MEMOR TAMPA, FL 3	UAL HIGHWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
171141171, 1 2 3	75054		1617		
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/520,561		FARER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
	•	Gina C. Yu		1617	·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	<u>'</u>	n-final.		•				
3)		is application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
تت ( ۰	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)  🔀	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to:								
•		•							
Applicati	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				•					
Attachmen	nt(s)		·		•				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
, <del></del>	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<del>1</del> 8)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  Notice of Informal (						
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/11/05_7/15/07</u> .		6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/520,561

Art Unit: 1617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claim 5 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 19, 2007.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. Applicants' amendment filed on July 19, 2007 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 requires exclusion of "any esters which are able to dissolve the polymer or copolymer". It is not clear what esters are meant by the limitation of claim 2, as the polymers of claim 1 are water-soluble polymers. Even in the applicants' specification, there are no examples of the esters that are to be excluded from the present invention. Claim 2 is vague and indefinite as the metes and bounds of the scope of the limitation are not clear.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,561

**Art Unit: 1617** 

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hurschmann et al. (WO 99/20230 A2, Translation).

Hurschmann discloses a hair mascara composition comprising 8 % of stearic acid, 0.5 % of Luviskol VA 64 (vinylacetyl-vinylpyrrolidone copolymer), 0.5-1.0 % of carnauba wax. See Example Formulation R2. Polyvinylpyrrolidone is also taught on page 4. Active agents (pigments, perfume, etc), and emulsifier (cetylstearyl alcohol) are also included in the composition. See instant claim 3 and 4. Since Luviskol VA 64 is water-soluble, the esters present in the prior art composition do not affect the solubility of the polymers. See instant claim 2.

The reference states, "[F] or the production of the mascara according to the inventions, the pigments, the nonionogenic synthetic polymers and the waxes are worked into a suitable water-containing carrier. Such carriers are, for example, creams, emulsions, and gels". See p. 5, bridging par.

While the reference does not specifically teach that the composition is made by the present applicant's method, "[E] ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the

Application/Control Number: 10/520,561

**Art Unit: 1617** 

product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." See In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). In this case, the present claim requires that the polymer, wax, and stearic acid are separately mixed to form a stable colloidal complex before it is emulsified with the water phase. The reference teaches making emulsions and addition of emulsifiers and mixing the ingredients, it is not clear as to what are patentably distinct structural limitations between the final product of the Hurschmann and the present invention. Applicants disclose in specification that the polyvinyl polymer in wax produces more solid particles than vinyl polymer in water, and states that a structural changed has occurred in the PVP/wax mixture. See [00010]. However, the final emulsion product of Hurschmann contains a mixture of PVP and wax also: the Hurschmann mascara contains the same ingredients in same amounts as the present invention. Without a showing of unexpected result of the present invention over the Formulation R2 of the Hurschmann patent, which is the closest prior art, Examiner finds the present invention prima facie obvious.

# **Conclusion**

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:00AM until 5:30 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gina Yu

Gina C. Yu

**Patent Examiner**